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EXTREMITY BIAS IN ONLINE REVIEWS: A FIELD EXPERIMENT

Abstract: In a range of studies across many platforms, submitted online ratings have been shown to be characterized by a distribution with disproportionately-heavy tails. These have been referred to as "u-shaped distributions" or "j-shaped distributions." Our focus in this paper is on understanding the underlying process that yields such a distribution. We develop a simple analytical model to capture the most-common explanation: differences in utility associated with posting extreme vs moderate reviews. We compare the predictions of this model with those of an alternative theory based on customers forgetting about writing a review over time. The forgetting rate, by assumption, is higher for moderate reviews. The two models yield stark theoretical differences in the predicted dynamics of extremity bias. To test our predictions, we conduct a large-scale field experiment with an online travel platform. In this experiment, we vary the time at which the platform sent out a review solicitation email. This manipulation allows us to observe the extremity dynamics over an extended period both before and after the firm's solicitation email. The results support consistently the forgetting-based explanation over the extant utility theory.